

Preparatory Committee for the 2015 Review Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons

Third session

Cluster 2

New York, 1 May 2014

Statement by

H.E. Ambassador Pedro Motta Pinto Coelho Permanent Representative of Brazil to the Conference on Disarmament

(check against delivery)

## Mr. Chairman,

Non-proliferation has undoubtedly been the most successful of the three pillars of the NPT. 44 years after the Treaty's entry into force, the non-nuclear-weapon States have been complying with their obligations under the Treaty and keeping their end of the fundamental bargain at its core, in stark contrast to the implementation of the disarmament commitments under Article VI by the nuclear-weapon States.

Nuclear disarmament is essential to nuclear non-proliferation. As long as nuclear weapons exist, there will be States and non-State actors tempted to acquire or develop them. In this regard, Brazil sees nuclear disarmament as the best antidote to nuclear proliferation.

Brazil is fully committed to its non-proliferation commitments. Besides being Party to the NPT, Brazil is also Party to the Tlatelolco Treaty and to the CTBT. Our nuclear facilities are subject to safeguards not only from the IAEA, but also from the Brazilian-Argentine Agency for the Accounting and Control of Nuclear Material (ABACC), the only of its kind in the world. Our Federal Constitution expressly determines that the use of nuclear energy shall be solely for peaceful purposes. We support nuclear non proliferation because we are against nuclear weapons, no matter who holds them.

We cannot accept, however, that the burden of the NPT Regime continue to fall exclusively on the non-nuclear weapon States, with the increasing imposition of obligations that affect only those who already faithfully comply with their Treaty obligations.

While Brazil fully respects the sovereign decision of those States that decided to sign an Additional Protocol with the Agency, we recall that INFCIRC/540 was approved by the IAEA Board of Governors in the understanding of its voluntary nature, a fact that is officially reflected in that body's records. The 2010 Action Plan also recognized that "it is the sovereign decision of any State to conclude an additional protocol". Furthermore, Action 30 of the 2010 Action Plan stated that "additional protocols should be universally applied once the complete elimination of nuclear weapons has been achieved". It is very unfortunate, Mr. Chairman, that we seem to be incredibly far from reaching this point.

Brazil has also actively participated in the discussions regarding the implementation of a "State Level Approach" by the IAEA. We understand that the relationship of the Agency with its Member States must be guided by existing agreements between both and the commitments derived from them. Objectivity must guide the Agency's work. We welcome the fact that the IAEA is engaged in a consultation process with Member States on the State Level Approach, whose parameters must, in our view, be subject to approval by the Board of Governors.

## Mr. Chairman,

Brazil is firmly committed to the objective of nuclear non-proliferation. We believe, however, that any approach that focuses exclusively on this pillar, in prejudice of

necessary progress on nuclear disarmament, is doomed to failure. It is necessary to demand coherence from the nuclear weapon States, which continue to raise security reasons as justification for the maintenance and modernization of their nuclear arsenals. That is, in our view, an argument that only contributes to the erosion of the credibility of the nuclear non-proliferation regime.

I thank you, Mr. Chairman.