Statement of Egypt

Third Session of the Preparatory Committee for the 2015 Review Conference of the States Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons

Cluster II Issues: Implementation of the provisions of the Treaty relating to Non-Proliferation of Nuclear weapons, Safeguards and nuclear-weapon-free zones

Mr. Chairman,

- 1. The delegation of Egypt associates itself with the statement delivered by Indonesia on behalf of the Non-Aligned Movement.
- 2. Non-Proliferation is one of the three central pillars around which the Treaty is constructed. For non-proliferation efforts to be effective within the Treaty regime, non-proliferation has to be pursued in all its aspects, preventing both vertical as well as horizontal proliferation, at the same time at which parallel nuclear disarmament efforts advance, in a manner that ensures that non-proliferation and nuclear disarmament efforts are balanced, mutually reinforcing and truly contributing to the realization of the Treaty objectives.
- 3. We acknowledge that the NPT has covered non-proliferation obligations in a clear and balanced manner but regrettably realize that these obligations continue to be implemented in a selective manner.
- 4. While obligations of Nuclear-Weapon-States are clear under Article I of the Treaty, preventing them from transferring nuclear weapons to any other State, practices of nuclear sharing under security arrangements which violate Article I still continue. These practices violate at the same time commitments undertaken by non-nuclear-weapon-States as some of them accept stationing of nuclear weapons on their territories or build their security under nuclear umbrellas provided by nuclear-weapon-States, in contradiction to the very letter and spirit of the Treaty and in a practice which offers false legitimacy to these weapons and obstructs the drive towards the realization of nuclear-weapon-free-world. It is clear in our view that violations to Articles I and II of the NPT, contribute overtime to the erosion of the credibility of the Treaty and truly undermine the potential to advance respect to its non-proliferation and disarmament obligations.

Mr. Chairman,

5. IAEA Comprehensive Safeguards Agreements continue to represent the legitimate source of assurance under the Treaty against the proliferation of nuclear weapons, and the effective instrument to confirm that peaceful nuclear activities in non-nuclear-weapon-States remain peaceful.

- 6. Comprehensive Safeguards should thus remain at the center of non-proliferation efforts, in the IAEA multilateral framework since the verification standard under the NPT is and will remain Comprehensive Safeguards.
- 7. We note with great concern efforts to promote the universality of the Model Additional Protocol in Non-Nuclear-Weapon-States as a priority that precedes the priority of universality of Comprehensive Safeguards. We firmly believe that for the NPT to provide a meaningful contribution to a world free of nuclear weapons, non-proliferation cannot be advanced on the account of nuclear disarmament. The primary objective of the international community should remain the universalization of Comprehensive Safeguards, both regionally and internationally. This regional consideration is one that can best be demonstrated in the Middle East, where the IAEA continues to struggle to apply Comprehensive Safeguards to all States in the region, with only one State rejecting such application and defying any IAEA safeguards beyond symbolic limited arrangements that overlook activities of serious concern both under the Treaty and within the regional security context.
- 8. The practice that is being witnessed today, steadily seeking to increase safeguards obligations on non-nuclear-weapon-States, in the absence of any parallel or legally-comparable safeguards obligations in Nuclear-Weapon-States dedicated to nuclear disarmament, is unhelpful to the nuclear disarmament aspirations embodied in the NPT and in effect seeks to promote the non-proliferation dimension of the NPT in total disconnect from its disarmament dimension to an extent only serving to assure the continued existence of nuclear weapons under the Treaty. This should not continue if nuclear disarmament is to be effectively pursued under the NPT.
- 9. In this regard, the Decision on "Principles and Objectives of Non-Proliferation and Disarmament" provides significant guidance that should be observed fully, with regard to the conditions listed under paragraph 12 of that decision with regard to Comprehensive Safeguards Agreements being an essential requirement before supply of nuclear material or equipment can be provided to any State. This is not being observed as we speak, nor are efforts to achieve the universality of the Treaty as an urgent priority identified by that Decision.

Mr. Chairman,

10. Nuclear-Weapon-Free Zones contribute significantly to international peace and security. The goal of the establishment of a NWFZ in the Middle East has gained an exceptional and urgent priority under the indefinitely extended Treaty since 1995 and the Resolution on the Middle East, as a central element in the indefinite extension package in 1995 stands witness to that, although little has been realized in the practical implementation of that Resolution to date. The prominence of that goal is not only due to its contribution to the currently undermined security of Non-Nuclear-Weapon-States from that region, but also to the relevance of its realization to goal of Treaty universality.

11. We believe that progress in this review cycle will require a strong component of success in implementing the 2010 Plan of Action on the Middle East. Given that four years have passed since the adoption of the plan without the date of the Conference being announced to date, and the limited time available before the Review Conference, we remain committed to pursue the implementation of the 2010 mandate on the Middle East and to convene this year, 2014, the postponed 2012 Conference on the Middle East zone free of nuclear weapons and all other weapons of mass destruction. We trust the conveners of the Conference will assist in the realization of this objective and facilitate the advancement of our collective interest in that regard.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman

Third Preparatory Committee for the 2015 Review Conference of the States Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons

Cluster III Issues: Implementation of the provisions of the Treaty relating to the inalienable right of all parties to the Treaty to develop, research, production and use of nuclear energy for peaceful purposes